

CRM-M-10245-2021

Date of decision: 14.07.2022

RAHUL AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

The report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula has been received wherein it has been specifically and categorically mentioned that initially the matter was compromised but as per the statement of respondent No.2, the accused person had not complied with the terms and conditions of the compromise. Respondent No.2 had made a statement that at present, there is no compromise between her and the petitioners and she does not intend to get the FIR quashed.

Consequently, it cannot be concluded that any compromise exists between the parties at present. Even, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

14.07.2022
renubala(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No