

Jagtar Singh alias Tari

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard *via* video conference.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail' under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.217, dated 15.11.2019, having been registered at Police Station Khanna City-2, Police District Khanna, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 302/34 of the IPC.

On 28.09.2021, learned State counsel had sought time to determine as to whether the complainant in the FIR, who is stated to be the eyewitness to the occurrence, had been examined or not, with the trial court also directed to ensure that he is examined at the earliest, with the petitioner having been in custody for about 1 year and 10 months at that stage.

Today, learned State counsel confirms that the complainant was in fact examined on 12.10.2021, with all material prosecution witnesses also having been examined and the remaining 7 prosecution witnesses being largely police officials.

That being so, with the petitioner having been in custody for more than 2 years now and the trial still nowhere near conclusion especially in view of the current pandemic, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed with the petitioner ordered to be admitted to bail, upon him furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the complete satisfaction of the trial court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

January 17, 2022

dharamvir//

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO