

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-4573-2022

Date of Decision : 09.03.2022

Sanjay Behari Lal Mathur and others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present:- Mr. A.S.Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL).

Instant writ petition has been filed assailing the order dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-31) issued by the Director, Town & Country Planning, State of Haryana.

On a specific query having been put, Mr. A.S.Talwar, learned counsel representing the petitioners concedes that the petitioners would have a statutory remedy of appeal under Section 19 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975 (hereinafter to be referred to as 'the 1975 Act') against the impugned order passed by the Director.

We are of the considered view that the petitioners ought to avail of such statutory remedy.

Writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to avail the remedy of appeal under section 19 of the 1975 Act.

Since an advance copy of the writ petition had already been served upon the respondents, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has joined proceedings and very fairly states

that in the eventuality of the petitioners filing an appeal against the impugned order dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-31) within a period of two weeks, the same would be deliberated on merits and a final order in accordance with law would be passed within a period of eight weeks thereafter and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

Statement is accepted.

Disposed of as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

09.03.2022

anju

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |