

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(229)

CWP No. 4245 of 2019

Date of Decision : 10.11.2022

Jaideep and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the claim of the petitioners is for regularization of their services with effect from the date, person juniors to the petitioners were granted the said benefit.

On being asked, as to who is the junior, who has been regularized in preference to the petitioners in the District in which the petitioners are working, learned counsel for the petitioners is unable to answer the same but submits that it is a matter of fact that certain juniors to the petitioners have been regularized.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioners file any representation claiming the benefit of regularization from the date person juniors to them in the District in which the petitioners are working

and the said fact is substantiated, the said grievance of the petitioners will be given consideration and appropriate decision on the same will be taken within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation and in case, the said grievance is found meritorious, appropriate relief will be extended to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to approach the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

November 10, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No