

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-9168-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.4.2022

Tejbir Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pankaj Mahavir Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.311 dated 16.11.2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contended that the petitioner who was arrested in the present case on 20.1.2022 is not required by the police any more as the investigation has already been concluded. Counsel further contended that no such agreement to sell as alleged by the complainant was ever forged by the petitioner. He further contended that dispute regarding validity of the said agreement to sell is already pending in the Civil Court at Yamuna Nagar. Counsel for the petitioner made prayer that the petitioner is entitled to grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, State counsel submitted that there are

tenant under the complainant, forged one agreement to sell. State counsel further submitted that trial is yet to begin and as such, the petitioner is not entitled to get relief of regular bail at this stage.

I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

As per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner who took one shop on rent from the father of the complainant, forged one agreement to sell in his favour.

The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.1.2022 and is presently lodged in judicial custody. After completion of investigation, challan has been presented and the trial Court has also framed charges against the petitioner. It will take time for conclusion of trial. Thus, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any further period.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

April 19, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No