

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CR-779-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.03.2022

M/S Melody House and Others

...Petitioner

versus

Sanjay Bansal

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jivtesh Singh Nagi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Divyanshu Jain, Advocate for the caveator-respondent.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

Taken up through physical mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioners' states that the amendment sought in the written statement is only for bringing on record the subsequent events and it would not amount to a *de novo* trial inasmuch as the respondent-landlord has still not concluded his evidence.

Mr. Divyanshu Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-landlord and states that he would have no objection if the amendment is allowed. He, however, prays that since the ejectment petition is pending since the year 2017, the same may be time bound.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-landlord, the impugned order dated 24.09.2021 is set aside and amendment to the written statement is allowed. However, keeping in view the fact that the ejectment petition is pending since 2017, the Rent Controller is

requested to expedite the hearing of the case and to decide the same on merits, in accordance with law, within a period of 08 months from the date of this order, subject to any further restrictions which may be imposed in the functioning of the Court due to the Covid-19 Pandemic.

Civil revision stands disposed off. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

March 23, 2022
tripti

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE : Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : YES/NO