

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-10461-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.11.2022

SUNIL KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Additional AG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the seizure order dated 03.10.2020 passed by respondent No.3 whereby the vehicle of the petitioner was seized.

Learned State counsel submits that since, the vehicle in question has already been released, the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid aspect of the matter.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

22.11.2022

Aman Jain

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>