

[134] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.430 of 2022

Date of Decision : 29.03.2022

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Harinder Singh, Jail Superintendent, District Jail,
Bhondsi, Gurugram, Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.01.2022 in CRWP No.490 of 2022 in case titled as 'Rakesh Kumar versus State of Haryana and another.'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CRWP No.490 of 2022 was disposed of vide order dated 20.01.2022 by directing the Superintendent, District Jail, Bhondsi, Gurugram, to treat the criminal writ petition as a representation and to decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within 10 days from the date of receipt of order.

[3] Learned State Counsel has filed reply dated 24.03.2022 along with speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 27.01.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as was raised in CRWP No.490 of 2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who after perusal of the same states that the petitioner does not press the instant petition but may be granted liberty to challenge

proceedings, in accordance with law.

[4] In view of orders (Annexure P-1) dated 20.01.2022 having been complied with by passing speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 27.01.2022, no action is called for against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Contempt petition is accordingly *disposed of* with the liberty, as prayed for.

[6] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No