

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CRM-M-9504-2022

Date of Decision : March 11, 2022

Prabhjot Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jatinder Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the complainant is yet to be examined, the petitioner may kindly be allowed to withdraw this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the prosecution witnesses are going to be examined on the next date of hearing, the trial Court may kindly be directed to examine the complainant as next witness so that, in case after the testimony of the complainant is recorded and the petitioner feels that he has a case for the grant of bail, he can avail the said remedy.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection if the complainant is examined as next witness.

Though the present petition has been withdrawn but keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, it is directed that the complainant be examined as next witness by the concerned trial Court as expeditiously as possible.

Ordered accordingly.

March 11, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No