

Amritpal Singh Sidhu

...Petitioner

versus

Ajay Kumar Sinha, IAS and ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1 dated 14.12.2018 in CWP-26262-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CWP-26262-2018 was disposed of with a direction that in case any fee bills were pending, a decision be taken in respect thereto at the earliest and conveyed to the petitioner.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order Annexure P-1 claim of the petitioner qua the pending fee bills has been rejected vide order dated 16.03.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 16.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. The same is not opposed to by the learned State counsel.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.12.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>