

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 8930 of 2022 (O&M)
Decided on: 21.04.2022

Surjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Mandeep Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0011 dated 27.01.2022 registered under Sections 306/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Dehlon, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 03.03.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is a 67 years old lady and has falsely been implicated in the case. The deceased was residing with the petitioner and her husband and they were treating him like a son as they do not have any children of their own. It is further the contention that the husband of the petitioner had also executed a registered Will in favour

of the deceased on 17.03.2021. It is further contended that the allegation against the petitioner in the FIR is that the petitioner and her husband were threatening to throw the deceased out of the house and that they were not letting him get married. The learned counsel would further contend that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and fully co-operate with the Investigating Agency.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 03.03.2022, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on the basis of the affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (South) Ludhiana, has not disputed the aforesaid fact, however, it is submitted that the FIR was registered on a complaint given by Chamkaur Singh.

Counsel for the complainant has also submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are serious, however, it is not disputed that no suicide note was left by the deceased.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 03.03.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

21.04.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No