

[212] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.659 of 2020

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Parmajit Singh Chhina and another ...Petitioners

versus

Sanjay Kumar, IASRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate *assisted by*
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate
for the respondent.

Mr. S.C. Pathela, Advocate.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 12 & 14 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of orders (Annexure P-1 and P-2) dated 02.05.2018 and 11.01.2019 in CWP No.10799 of 2018.

[3] Learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate, has filed reply along with order (Annexure R-1) dated 11.12.2020, rejecting the representation filed by the petitioners. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who after perusal of the same and verification of the factual position states that in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the

liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 11.12.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, no action is called for against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of* as such, while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 11.12.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No