

[135-2] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.393 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Krishna Gulati and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Devender Singh, IAS and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate for
Ms. Ajay Kumar Kansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 18.12.2020 in CWP No.17499 of 2020.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that on 18.12.2020, orders were passed in CWP No.17499 of 2020 that if there was any proposal to cancel the plot allotted to the petitioner, the same be kept in abeyance till the next date of hearing. However, the instant petition was filed on account of cancellation of the plot allotted to the petitioner notwithstanding order dated 18.12.2020 in CWP No.17499 of 2020.

[3] Pursuant to notice issued to respondent No.2 on 04.03.2022 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, learned counsel for the respondent has

No.6374 dated 14.03.2022, withdrawing office order Memo No.4319-24 dated 11.02.2022 in respect to DSS Site No.46, Sector 45, Gurugram besides expressing unconditional and unqualified apology. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as tendering of unconditional and unqualified apology, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No