

Ram Singh and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Virender Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vaidant Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 19.07.2019 in CWP No.19458 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.19458 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide legal notice dated 15.04.2019 filed by the petitioners within three months from the receipt of copy of the order and in case it was found that the petitioners were entitled to any monetary benefits on decision of the legal notice, the same were to be paid to the petitioners within three months thereafter.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case and at the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondent has passed order dated 06.07.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice dated 15.04.2019 and that in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated

06.07.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.08.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No