

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8921 of 2022 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 28th July, 2022

Amandeep Singh Dhillon

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate for complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

CRM-26438 of 2022

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Documents Annexure P4 and P5 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for complainant are *ad-idem* that the matter has been compromised. As per the allegations, the petitioner ostensibly received Rs.9,50,000/- from the complainant on the pretext that he would assure that the son of the complainant gets six bands in *IELTS*. Neither the needful was done nor the amount was refunded.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the subsequent development and the fact that the grievance raised has predominant tone and tenor of commercial dispute, the petitioner is granted pre-arrest bail, subject to his joining investigation within a week. In the event of

arrest, he shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail

bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. He is directed to join the investigation as and when called for. He shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

In case of non-joining the investigation or change in circumstance, the State would be at liberty to take remedy in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

28th July, 2022

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>