

[102] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4203 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.03.2022

Jai Hanuman Rice and General Mills ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for directing the respondent-department to decide representation, Annexure P-5, dated 30.10.2021 submitted by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Pawan Longia, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Accordingly, in the light of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation, Annexure P-5, dated 30.10.2021, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter as

expeditiously as possible, preferably within 02 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and to convey decision on the same to the petitioner within a period of 03 days, thereafter.

[6] Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>