

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4296 of 2020
Date of Decision:-20.04.2022**

Dasrath Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that claim of the petitioner for grant of increment for the period 01.01.2017 till 31.12.2017 is already covered by the decision of this Court passed in **CWP No.32598 of 2019**, titled as **Gurdev Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another**, decided on **16.03.2022**, wherein after considering the Rule 4.7 and 4.9(a), the employees, who had rendered one year service prior to their retirement, have been allowed the increment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the case of the petitioner is covered by the said judgment as concededly the petitioner has worked for a period of one year starting from 01.01.2017 till 31.12.2017.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents and does not dispute the question of law as being raised in the present writ petition being covered by the decision in **Gurdev Singh's** case (supra).

Keeping in view the fact that the question of law raised in the present petition with regard to grant of one increment for serving for a period of one year prior to the date of retirement is already settled, the present petition is also disposed of in the same terms as in **Gurdev Singh's** case (supra).

April 20, 2022
Vijay Asija

(**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No