

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8693-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.04.2022

Jayson Bhatti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rahul Kumar Adia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.204 dated 25.12.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 326, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 09.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 03.02.2022 passed in CRM-M No. 3265 of 2022 (Annexure P-3). It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready to hand over a demand draft of Rs. 25,000/- favouring the complainant towards the medical expenses.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 09.03.2022, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has also paid Rs. 25,000/- to the victim towards

his medical expenses.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vipin Kumar, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 09.03.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.04.2022
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No