

**148 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-830-2022 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 22.09.2022

Kuldip Singh @ Baljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Devi and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present:     Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner  
                  Mr. Rajesh Arora, Advocate for respondent no.1  
                  Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate for respondent no.2 and 3  
                  Mr. R.L.Longia, Advocate for respondent no.5

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)**

Learned counsel representing the parties have been heard at length. Upon realizing that while deciding the application for permission to amend, the objection of the defendant that the amendment sought is beyond the prescribed time, has not been considered, the learned counsels representing the parties are ad idem that the trial court should be directed to redecide the application. In view of the consensus arrived at, the order dated 26.11.2021 is set aside. The trial court is directed to decide the aforesaid application afresh.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also  
disposed of.

22.09.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned :   Yes/No

Whether reportable :               Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE**