

230

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8589-2022

Decided on: 4th March, 2022

Vikramjit Singh @ Bikramjit Singh Bikka @ Gora

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate for
Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

[1] This petition is filed seeking interim bail for 2 weeks on the ground that *shagun* ceremony and marriage of the petitioner are fixed for 6th March, 2022 and 7th March, 2022 respectively.

[2] On the last date, learned State counsel sought time to verify the factum of marriage.

[3] Today learned State counsel has filed the status report, copy thereof is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. He submits that in order to verify the facts, statements of father of girl and manager of marriage palace were recorded.

[4] As per status report, it appears that this marriage is false

platform created to ensure that petitioner comes out of the jail and there is apprehension of petitioner absconding. The petitioner is involved in one more FIR i.e. FIR No.14, dated 2nd July, 2012 under Sections 120-B, 489-B and 489-C IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 21, 25, 28 and 29 of the NDPS Act, Police Station SSOC, Amritsar. There are allegations of dealing in counterfeit currency.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 2nd July, 2012. He produces the order passed by the Division Bench and submits that in other case the Division Bench has granted parole of two weeks to the petitioner.

[6] Learned counsel for the State refutes the contention and submits that earlier verification was done from Panchayat members and father of bride. Subsequently on detailed enquiry, the picture which emerged was doubtful.

[7] From perusal of the parole order, it is forthcoming that no doubt with regard to marriage of the petitioner was raised before the Division Bench whereas in the present case specific affidavit is filed raising apprehensions of absconding and that a false platform has been prepared for release of petitioner.

[8] Considering the fact of marriage is not being disputed as such but there are serious doubts being raised that it is a camouflage created to ensure release of the petitioner and thereafter there is apprehension of his absconding.

[9] To create the balance with regard to prayer for grant of

interim bail and the apprehension raised, let petitioner be taken for ceremonies on 6th March, 2022 and 7th March, 2022 in police custody on payment of usual expenses. He shall be brought back to jail on same day on 6th and 7th March, 2022.

[10] The petition is disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

4th March, 2022
pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |