

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

288

CRR-394-2022
Date of Decision: 16.05.2022

Parveen KumarPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the impugned order dated 21.01.2022, the accused has come up before this Court to recall all the witnesses who have been examined during the trial. The learned trial Court has permitted the examination of prosecution witnesses, mentioned below:-

<i>Sr.No.</i>	<i>Prosecution witness</i>	<i>Name</i>
1	PW2	Complainant Joginder Singh
2	PW4	ASI Bharat Singh of HP Police
3	PW13	Inspector Nirmal (IO)
4	PW14	SI Surender(IO)
5	PW15	MHC Rampal
6	PW16	Dr. Harinderjeet Singh (conducted Post Mortem)
7	PW17	Dr. Vinod Kumar (took blood sample for DNA Test)
8	----	Assistant Director, FSL (gave DNA Report)
9	----	Senior Scientific Officer, FSL (gave FSL Report)

Learned counsel for the petitioner want to examine further witnesses, which are mentioned as below:-

<i>Name</i>	<i>Status</i>
Women HC No.1173 PS Sadar Thanesar	Given up
Narender Singh (husband of deceased Pooja) R/o Vill. Umri, Kurukshetra	Examined as PW3
Rinku R/o Patanpuri, Distt. Karnal	Given up
ASI Pradeep Kumar No.269 PS Sadar Thanesar	Examined as PW-12
Samdiye S/o Ratiram R/o Patanpuri, Distt. Karnal	Given up
Kuldeep Singh (Hotel Owner) R/o Sirmor, HP	Examined AS PW-11
SI Rishipal No.125 P.P. Sector-5, Kurukshetra	Not examined
HC Rajesh Kumar No.820, P.P. Sector 5, Kurukshetra	Examined AS PW-7
Amit Sharma, Patwari, Distt. Sirmor, HP	Examined as PW-1
ASI Jeet Singh No.220 PS Sadar Thanesar	Examined as PW-5
SI Raj Kumar 228/A PS Sadar Thanesar	Examined as PW-6
Nodal Officer Vodafone, Delhi	Not examined
Nodal Officer, Reliance Jio, Haryana	Examined as PW-9
Nodal Officer, Idea, Haryana	Examined as PW-8
Director FSL Madhuban, Karnal	Not examined
Mani Ram S/o Jogi Ram, R/o Patangpuri, Karnal (Father of deceased Pooja)	Examined as PW-10
Etc. as the list given herein is not exhaustive	Needs to be examined

I am of the considered opinion that only witnesses which are relevant i.e. doctor and the witnesses who were supposed to prove the factum of taking her clothes, scientific evidence have been examined and there is no need to examine the other witnesses after addition of charge under Section 376 IPC.

Given above, no merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.05.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No