

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-8851-2022

Date of Decision: 07.03.2022

Manju Arya @ Meenu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.360 dated 07.09.2021, under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 120-B, 201, 395, 397 and 412 IPC were added), registered at Police Station City Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

FIR was registered on the complaint of one Pawan Kumar, who stated that he is the driver engaged on a swift desire car. On 07.09.2021, he was going to Bhiwani from Palwal and Shobit, employee in Ultratch Company was also with him. When they reached near Vijay Hospital Surgon Road, Jhajjar, then Shobit get down from the car to purchase a water bottle from the shop. The complainant remained sitting in the car. Meanwhile, a car came from behind and stopped at the side. Two persons got down, they pointed a pistol at the complainant and asked him to come out of the car. As the complainant got down from the car, he was thrown and the accused fled away in his car. He stated that both the boys had muffled faces.

It is the case of the prosecution that subsequently three accused namely, Badshah, Yash and Suraj, who allegedly pointed the pistol and snatched the car from the complainant were arrested. The name of the petitioner has surfaced in the disclosure statement made by Suraj. Suraj in his disclosure statement stated that the petitioner was also accompanying them and she was carrying a pistol and had handed over the pistol to them to snatch the car. It is stated that the pistol used in the crime had been recovered from the petitioner. It is also stated that there are two other cases against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2021. The investigation in the case is complete.

In view of the above and the fact that the trial is unlikely to conclude in near future more so in the wake of outbreak of pandemic COVID. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner-Manju Arya @ Meenu is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

March 07, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no