

**260 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6868-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.08.2022**

**SOM DUTT AND OTHERS ... PETITIONERS
V/S
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have assailed the order dated 14.01.2020, Annexure P-7 passed by the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Jind. At the instance of respondent No.2 the case bearing FIR No. 110 dated 29.06.2017 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 & 34 IPC (Sections 506 & 34 IPC deleted later on) has been registered at Women Police Station. Jind. After the investigation, the challan has been presented only against Naresh Sharma, the husband of respondent No.2. The petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 3 are the father, mother and sister of Naresh Sharma. The respondent No.2/complainant had moved an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. in the trial Court for summoning the petitioner. The application was dismissed by the trial Court in terms of order dated 29.08.2019. However, the respondent No.2/complainant preferred a revision petition and the same has been allowed in terms of judgment dated 14.01.2020 passed by the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Jind. The learned trial Court has been directed to pass fresh order after taking into consideration the complaint and testimony of the witnesses.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled. The complainant/respondent No. 2 has withdrawn the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. Even the aforesaid FIR has also been quashed in terms of order of even date passed in CRM-M-16404-2022. Consequently, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No