

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8872-2022

Date of Decision: 21st April, 2022

Sachin Sharma alias Rahul

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Sanwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 281, dated 4th July, 2021, under Sections 379-B(2), 511, 411, IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No.5, Ludhiana.

2. The FIR was registered at the instance of Tania Kalra. As per the allegations on 4th July, 2021, at about 6 PM complainant along with her mother went for shopping in Jawahar Nagar Market. When they reached near Grover Karyana Store, a young man driving an Activa came from behind and snatched the purse. The mother of the complainant held the Activa from back, she was dragged and Activa got unbalanced. Sachin Sharma @ Rahul (petitioner) was nabbed at the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the story putforth is improbable as the Activa allegedly used in the incident was owned by Ravinder Kumar Ahuja and as per his statement, the Activa was stolen on 1st July, 2021. He was shown the Activa on 4th July, 2021 after the

incident but the FIR with regard to the theft Acura was registered on 5th July, 2021.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that petitioner was apprehended at the spot. The complainant has identified the petitioner and supported the case of prosecution in her deposition. Petitioner is not having clean antecedents. He is involved in six more cases.

5. The observations made hereinabove are only for the purpose of deciding the regular bail petition and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. Petitioner was apprehended at the spot. The allegations are regarding snatching and also causing hurt. The complainant's mother was dragged after an attempt of snatching. The delay in lodging the FIR for the theft of the Acura even if relevant for the present case would be subject matter of the trial.

7. Considering the seriousness of the allegations, no case is made out for grant of regular bail.

8. The petition is dismissed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

21st April, 2022

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No