

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1813-2022

Date of decision : 28.02.2022

Kavita and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner no.1 was born on 16.12.2000 as is apparent from her secondary certificate examination and Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1 & P-2) and petitioner no.2 was born on 18.04.1998 as is apparent from his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-3), and have married each other on 24.02.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the Marriage Certificate and photographs (Annexure P-4 & P-5). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the

petitioners that a detailed representation dated 24.02.2022 (Annexure P-6) has also been given to respondent no.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, looks into the representation dated 24.02.2022 (Annexure P-6) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the above said facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, to look into the representation dated 24.02.2022 (Annexure P-6) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent no.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 28, 2022.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No