

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(202)

CRM-M-8622-2022

Date of decision: - 09.09.2022

Gurdeep Singh @ Deepa

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.87 dated 22.10.2021, under Sections 306 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmehal, Police District Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar

On 02.03.2022, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that as per allegations, victim Manpreet Singh alias Manna voluntarily ended his life, who was having matrimonial dispute with his wife Sharandeep Kaur. As per allegations, he felt humiliated being called in police station upon a complaint given by his wife and the petitioner being a former Sarpanch of the village has been falsely implicated, who attempted to reconcile the dispute between the two. According to him, in the given facts, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 09.08.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing

personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.03.2022"

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Kulwinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances more so, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 02.03.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 02.03.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

September 09, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No