129 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4073-2022
Date of Decision:03.03.2022

SEEMA

…Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

(Presence marked through Video Conference)

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner herein, *inter alia*, seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to allow her for scrutiny of documents under Category No.28 in Advertisement No.12/2019 dated 20.07.2019 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to advertisement dated 20.07.2019 issued by Haryana Staff Selection Commission, the petitioner applied for the post of Mechanic Electronic Instructor (Theory) under General category. She appeared for the written examination on 15.12.2019 and was shortlisted for scrutiny of documents. Learned counsel further submits that at the time of scrutiny of documents, the petitioner was suffering from Typhoid and Backache and hence, her documents could not be scrutinized. Qua her aforesaid grievance, petitioner submitted various representations dated 18.11.2021, 29.12.2021, 05.01.2022 and 10.02.2022 (Annexure P-12 Colly.), but to no avail. Hence, the instant petition.

3. Learned State counsel, on advance service, joins proceedings and states that competent authority shall take appropriate decision on aforesaid pending representations (Annexure P-12).

GURPREET KAUR
2022.03.04 08:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner also agrees that let a final decision is taken, either way, by the competent authority on the aforesaid pending representations (Annexure P-12), giving reasons thereof.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per pending representations (Annexure P-12) and keeping in view the contention raised in the present petition by treating the same as supplementary representation and take a decision, in accordance with law.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of 30 days.

8. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

03.03.2022
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

GURPREET KAUR
2022.03.04 08:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document