

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRR(F) No.87 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:11.11.2022

Manju and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Dinesh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant revision petition has been filed challenging order dated 21.01.2019 passed by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, whereby, monthly maintenance of Rs.7,000/- and Rs.2,000/- have been granted to petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2, respectively.

Counsel for the respondent submits that claimants-petitioners have withdrawn petition filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C., which was pending before the Family Court. Moreover, he submits that petitioner No.1 has expired. It is his submission that instant petition has become infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the factual position.

In view of the above, petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

November 11, 2022

savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>