

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8661-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision:09.03.2022

SATPAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Anuj Arya, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-8642-2022

Prayer in the application is for placing on record Annexure
P-6.

Allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-6 is taken on record.

Main Case:

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks extension of time, enabling him to surrender before the trial Court in case bearing FIR No.573 dated 28.07.2017 registered under Sections 302, 394, 397, 120B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Civil Line Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks extension of time to enable the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court and placed on record the medical report issued by multi speciality hospital. He submits that petitioner is discharged on 08.03.2022 and he has been advised to bed rest for further 15 days.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana and Mr. T. S. Grewal, Advocate accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant, respectively. They oppose the grant of extension of time to the petitioner by contending that despite being granted interim bail for two times, the petitioner did not surrender before the trial Court at any stage. Thus he does not deserve the the extension of time to surrender before the trial Court.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, vide order dated 07.09.2021, passed by this Court in CRM-M-23064-2021, the petitioner was granted interim regular bail for a period of four weeks. Thereafter, vide order dated 06.10.2021 passed by this Court in CRM-33600-2021, interim bail granted to the petitioner was extended further for a period of three weeks with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court on expiry of his interim regular bail. Vide CRM-35977-2021, the petitioner again approached this Court for extension of time, but finding no merit therein, this Court dismissed the same and there is no change of circumstance in the present case.

As far as the medical report is concerned which is issued by multi speciality hospital, running into 31 pages, indicating that the blood pressure and other diagnoses conducted by the doctors, are near normal.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

09.03.2022

Riya

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No