

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CRWP-1928-2022

Date of Decision : March 04, 2022

Shalu Sharma and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents No. 4 to 7 i.e. parents/relatives of petitioner No. 1, who are objecting to the marriage of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 1 is major in age and has attained the marriageable age, whereas petitioner No. 2 though major in age but will attain the marriageable age on 12.03.2022. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the petitioner No. 1 was apprehending threat to her life and liberty, she had left her house and is living with petitioner No. 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have already approached respondent No. 2-the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana raising the said

grievance by filing a representation dated 23.02.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioners will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 23.02.2022 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 23.02.2022 (Annexure P-3), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No. 2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 04, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No