

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 216)

CRM-M No. 8935 of 2022

Date of decision : 13.09.2022

Sudama Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.285 dated 15.05.2019 registered under Sections 6 and 8 of the POCSO Act, 2012 at Police Station Palam Vihar, district Gurugram.

After arguing the matter for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he does not press the present petition on its merits and he would be satisfied if the same is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to finally decide the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that she has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

For the reason that every undertrial has a right to a speedy trial and because the petitioner is in custody for the last over 03 years and 04

months, the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is found to be reasonable and accepted. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to finally decide the petitioner's trial within four months from the next date fixed before it, in accordance with law.

13.09.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No