

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

132

CWP-4243-2022

Date of decision : 04.03.2022.

Kandhari Beverage Workers Union (Regd.)

... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, SAS Nagar and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner/Union had raised the demand notice on 03.09.2015 and the reference was made on 24.06.2016 but the same has not been decided by the Industrial Tribunal. He, therefore, prays for issuance of a direction to the Tribunal to decide the reference in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to respondent No.1 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of without issuing notice to respondents No.2 to 4 with a direction to the Industrial Tribunal (respondent No.1) to consider and decide the reference in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

March 04, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No