

230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9211-2022
Decided on: 9th March, 2022

Vikas

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 862, dated 16th November, 2020, under Sections 346 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 latterly added Sections 363/ 366/ 343/ 376 (2) (N)/ 376(D) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Bhiwani.
3. The main ground pressed by learned counsel for the petitioner for seeking bail is that petitioner is in custody since 15th December, 2020.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that out of twenty-three witnesses, twenty-one have been examined and an earnest effort would be made to examine remaining witnesses expeditiously.
5. In view of the Statement made by learned State counsel and considering the seriousness of the allegations, no ground is made out for

grant of regular bail, the petition is dismissed.

6. However, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the prayer in case there is inordinate delay by the prosecution in concluding the prosecution evidence.

7. There is no doubt in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating the date for examination of the witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

9th March, 2022
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |