

Dev Rishi

...Petitioner

vs.

HSSC

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Ms. Binayjeet Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation, Annexure P/11 dated 05.01.2022 to the respondent in connection with the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant petition but the same has not been decided till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the same in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and after perusal of the writ petitions states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation Annexure P/11 dated 05.01.2022, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible, preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.03.2022

Rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No