

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-8762-2022

Date of decision: 02.03.2022

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking directions to the official respondents to take appropriate legal action on his representation dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) against the private respondents i.e. respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite a prima facie case for the commission of offences under Sections 12, 14 and 15 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Sections 354C and 506 IPC and Section 67-B of the IT Act having been made out, the official respondents had been sitting over the matter and had failed to take any action on his representation (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to the official respondents to look into the matter at the earliest and take appropriate steps against the private respondents.

Heard.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari Vs. Govt. of U.P. and others : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*, the instant petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur to look into the representation of the petitioner dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), dispose of the same expeditiously and take appropriate steps as may be required in accordance with law.

02.03.2022

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No