

[139] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[1] COCP No.359 of 2022
Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Jaipal @ Jaipal Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Virender Kumar Dahiya, IAS, Director General
of Haryana Transport/Roadways, Chandigarh
and anotherRespondents

[2] COCP No.360 of 2022

Ramesh s/o Kanhiya Ram ...Petitioner

Versus

Virender Kumar Dahiya, IAS, Director General
of Haryana Transport/Roadways, Chandigarh
and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in COCP Nos.359 and 360 of 2022.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in COCP Nos.359 and 360 of 2022 is for initiating
action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of
orders dated 26.08.2019 in CWP No.22604 of 2019.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that despite
order dated 26.08.2019, disposing of CWP No.22604 of 2019 in terms of
order dated 18.07.2019 in CWP No.18449 of 2019 and directing the
department to decide the claim of the petitioner, no decision has been
taken on the same till date, therefore, respondent No.1 is liable to be

proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 26.08.2019 in CWP No.22604 of 2019 as well as decision dated 18.07.2019 in CWP No.18449 of 2019.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case.

[4] However, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 07.09.2021 passed by the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Panipat, rejecting the claim of the petitioner(s). Orders dated 07.09.2021 is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner(s), who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner(s) to challenge order dated 07.09.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner(s), the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No