

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

CRM-M-6758-2019

Date of Decision: December 21, 2022

ANIL GOYAL

...Petitioner

Versus

SATYADEV PHOGAT

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

The above mentioned petition has been filed by Anil Goyal seeking quashing of summoning order qua the petitioner passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, whereby the petitioner was summoned to face trial under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

A perusal of the index of the petition reveals that the petitioner had also filed CRM-M-36069-2017, on the similar set of allegations, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 06.05.2022 and the CRM-M-17689-2017 also mentioned therein was dismissed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 13.11.2019 alongwith 11 more cases *inter se* the same parties. Thereafter, a similar batch of 24 petitions involving the same issue was also dismissed by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 18.12.2019.

This Court also, based on the aforesaid orders passed by the co-ordinate Benches, had dismissed CRM-M-47316-2017 on 11.10.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to controvert the aforesaid facts, rather affirms the same.

In view of the similar controversy, as mentioned in the present petition, having already been decided against the petitioner, which is the conceded position by the petitioner, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

December 21, 2022

Rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No