

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**114**

**CRWP-1824-2022  
Date of decision: 04.04.2022**

**SARUK AND ANR**

... Petitioners

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mohd. Arshad, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)**

The petitioners namely Saruk and Pakija have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from respondents No.4 to 7.

The contention of the petitioners is that they are major, aged 18 years and 18 years respectively. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they want to perform marriage with each other, but the respondents No.4 and 5, at the instance of respondents No.6 and 7, are creating hurdles and hindrance in the marriage ceremony of the petitioners. As on 15.02.2022, the marriage of the petitioners was fixed, but on a false complaint moved by the respondents No.6 and 7, the respondents No.4 and 5 raided the houses of both the petitioners one day prior of the date of marriage i.e. on 14.02.2022 and did not allow the marriage to take place. The petitioners again apprehend threat of being not allowed to perform their marriage at the hands of respondents no.4 to 7.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents Nos.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner No.1 is not of marriageable age being below 21 years of age. However, he has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P-4) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh at Mewat.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage, which is yet to take place, and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh at Mewat to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been registered or is to be registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh at Mewat for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 04, 2022**  
*rajender*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*