

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8698-2022
Date of Decision: 06.04.2022**

Sukhchain Singh @ Shanty

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Thind, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.136 dated 06.05.2021, under Sections 379-B, 324 & 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana, Punjab.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 18.05.2021 and the investigation of the case has already been completed and therefore, the petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. R.S. Thind, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has submitted that it is a case where two young persons came with muffled faces on a TVS motorcycle colour light gray and green and grappled the complainant and try to snatch his purse and mobile phone.

One of the person also took out a knife from his dub and put fear of blow towards the chest of the complainant and the knife hit on the chest although very little and thereafter, the aforesaid muffled faced persons snatched his mobile phone and one purse and when the complainant raised left hand then these persons gave another blow of knife which hit on the wrist of the complainant and caused deep injuries and blood started oozing out of his hand and they also took Rs.3500/- and various other documents including the I.D. Card. Learned State counsel further submitted that on the disclosure statement made by the petitioner there was also a recovery of six mobile phones of different persons and the petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in as many as two more cases pertaining to snatching out of which one case pertains to Section 379 of the IPC and another pertains to Section 379-B of the IPC and has also submitted that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail particularly in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case and the antecedents of the petitioner. He further submitted that the complainant has not been examined as yet.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is a case where two persons came by way of muffled faces and by using a knife they allegedly not only snatched some of mobile phone and cash but also gave injuries to the complainant. On a disclosure statement made by the petitioner, six mobile phones were also recovered and according to the learned State counsel, the petitioner does not have clean antecedents and is involved in two more cases of snatching.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the present case, considering the facts of the present case and the gravity of the case and

also the fact that the complainant has not been examined as yet, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail, consequently finding no merit in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

06.04.2022

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Bhumika

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |