

206IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6590-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.12.2022

DEEPAK PUJARI

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Ajay Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Vij, APP for U.T.Chandigarh.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 12.10.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.139 dated 16.10.2019 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

The marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 25.02.2015. During the course of proceedings in this Court, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

As per the report, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. The settlement/agreement has also been received. In terms of the same, demand draft bearing number 628080 in the sum of Rs.1 lac, drawn on State Bank of India, has been handed over to the complainant, who is present in the Court. She has also placed on record copy of Aadhar Card.

Learned counsel for the U.T.Chandigarh, submits that as the arrest of the petitioner was stayed, he is yet to join investigation.

Adjourned to 08.12.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

As per the report received from the Mediation and Conciliation
Centre, an amicable settlement has been effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karan Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect(s) and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 12.10.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

08.12.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No