

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

128

COCP No.366 of 2022

Date of Decision: 25.03.2022

Niku

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Isha Kamboj, Secretary, HSSC

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Dr. S.K. Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, A.A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, it is informed that in compliance of the order dated 30.09.2021 passed in CWP No.19833 of 2021 (Annexure P-1), the claim of the petitioner contained in legal notice dated 01.09.2021 has been decided vide order dated 24.03.2022. A scanned copy of the same has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner and produced in the Court, today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the same, on instructions, he does not wish to press the contempt petition any further and he be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to avail legal remedy against order dated 24.03.2022.

Learned State counsel states that there is no objection and the respondent tenders unconditional apology for the delay that has occurred, which was neither willful nor intentional.

I have considered the submissions of learned counsels and in view of the order dated 30.09.2021 (Annexure P-1) having been complied with in spirit and the statement of the learned counsel for respondent, no

COCP No.366 of 2022

action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of as such with liberty, as recorded above.

Rule is discharged.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 25, 2022
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No