

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-480-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.09.2022**

Mukesh Taneja

..... Petitioner

versus

Tarun and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate for
Mr. Mayank Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

This is a revision petition filed against the judgment passed by the Appellate Court whereby conviction awarded to the petitioner in proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act (for short 'N.I. Act') stands affirmed. Alongwith this revision petition, an application i.e. CRM-5828-2020 under Section 320 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. was filed seeking compounding of the offence under Section 138 of the N.I. Act.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed the compensation amount awarded by the trial Court i.e. Rs.5,80,595/- including the cheque amount i.e. Rs.5 lacs alongwith litigation expenses amounting to Rs.8,000/-. This fact is admitted by counsel for the respondents. He further submits that the amount as paid by the petitioner has been accepted by the respondent and he has agreed to compound the offence of the petitioner. Consequently, the present application is allowed.

3. The complaint filed under Section 138 of the N.I. Act is ordered to be compounded. Consequently, the present revision petition has become infructuous.

4. Disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

16.09.2022

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No