

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112+367

**CM-14204-CII-2022 in/and
CR-395-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.12.2022**

Sumitra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Arvind

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate
for the respondent.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CM-14204-CII-2022

The application for taking up the revision petition for final disposal has become infructuous as the revision petition has been listed for hearing today itself and the application is dismissed as such.

CR-395-2021 (O&M)

The instant revision petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for setting aside of the orders dated 10.02.2021/12.02.2021 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) passed by learned Family Court, Karnal whereby evidence of the petitioner was closed by order.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent at the outset submits that he does not oppose the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner for examining one witness i.e. Parveen son of Roop Chand.

In view of no objection of learned counsel for the respondent, this Court deems it appropriate to grant one last effective

opportunity to the petitioner to lead her evidence.

In the wake of the above, the impugned order dated 10.02.2021/12.02.2021 (Annexures P-5 and P-6), are set aside. The instant revision petition is allowed in the following terms:-

1. The petitioner is granted one last effective opportunity to lead his evidence.
2. In the event of default by the petitioner, the case shall not be adjourned any further for leading her evidence and consequently her evidence shall be deemed to be closed by order.

07.12.2022

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No