

236 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-1630-MA-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.07.2022

Manjit Lal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Kulwinder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Sumit Kalyan, Advocate for
 Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate
 for the applicant.

None for respondents No.3 and 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present application had come up for hearing on 16.01.2020
when the following order was passed:-

“As per office report, notice to respondent Nos.1 and 2 could not be issued due to non-filing of their correct addresses. Hence, this application is liable to be dismissed for want of prosecution.

However, learned counsel for applicant regrets for the said lapse and seeks time to furnish correct address of respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Let fresh notice be issued to respondent Nos.1 and 2 for 27.08.2020 through ordinary process, registered post and dasti as well as furnishing requisite number of copies of the paper-book, registered cover, process fee, correct address and incidental expenses, if any, within one week.

Last opportunity.

In case of non-compliance of this order in its letter and spirit, this application shall positively be dismissed for want of prosecution.”

The aforesaid compliance has not been carried out by the

applicant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that he is not in a position to contact with his client and as a result thereof, the compliance could not be done.

Be that as it may, the order dated 16.01.2020 categorically states that in the event of non-compliance of the said order, the application shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.

In view of the above, the application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

25.07.022
rekha sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No