

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9222-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.05.2022

Rakesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate for

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-13399-2022

Prayer in the present application is for preponement of the main case, which is fixed for 18.08.2022.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of this Court, learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2, accept notice and submit that they have no objection, if the prayer of the learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner is allowed.

In view of the above, the same is allowed and the main case is preponed from 18.08.2022 to that of today and the same is taken on the Board for hearing.

CRM-18821-2022

Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexure A-1 (medical report of respondent No. 2) is taken on record.

Main case:

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 0190 dated 30.8.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 506 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Bahin, District Palwal and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.1.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 10.03.2021 passed by this Court, the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to record the statements of all concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise.

In compliance thereof, the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hathin, has submitted a report vide letter dated 31.03.2021, which indicates that the parties appeared before him and got recorded their respective statements with regard to the validity of the compromise. As per the report, the compromise arrived at between the parties is with free will and without any pressure or coercion.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the paper-book.

As per the medical report of respondent No.2 dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure A-1), there is entry and exit wound over left Mid Chest with Bleeding, which is a bullet injury and thus, this Court does not find any ground for quashing of the FIR.

Sensing that this Court is not inclined to quash the FIR, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

19.05.2022

Mangal Singh

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No