

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COC-350-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision-16.11.2022**

Nikhil Saraf

...Petitioner

Vs.

Shri Anirudh Tiwari, IAS and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Jashan Mehta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read along with Article 215 Constitution of India for summoning and punishing the respondents for not complying with the directions as per order in CWP (PIL)-137-2021 dated 06.08.2021 (Annexure P-1).

A reading of the order dated 06.08.2021 would show that during hearing of the writ petition, this Court had noticed the stand of the counsels representing the States of Punjab, Haryana and U.T., Chandigarh, but finally refused to entertain the petition. Thus, there is no direction given to the respondents for compliance.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3, while drawing attention to its reply submits that otherwise in view of the stand adopted before the

Writ Court, the representation of petitioner stands decided vide order dated 11.02.2022 (Annexure R2/2), i.e. even before filing of the contempt petition.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule discharged.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

16.11.2022
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No