

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

CWP No. 6547 of 2020

Date of Decision : 05.07.2022

Budh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner was appointed through employment exchange as a part time employee on Class-IV post in the year 1982 and he continued working as such till he attained the age of 58 years and had actually worked with the respondents for a period of more than three decades. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner was sent for regularization under 2003 Policy but the same could not be finalized before the petitioner attained the age of superannuation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (C) No. 1109 of 2022 titled as ***State of Gujarat and others Vs. Talsibhai Dhanjibhai Patel***, dated 18.02.2022. an ad-hoc employee, who continuously worked for three decades, is entitled for the grant of pension even if the said employee

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 14.10.2019 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 14.10.2019 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 14.10.2019 (Annexure P-4), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 05, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	√ Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	√ Yes/No