

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205-4)

CWP No. 4242 of 2020

Date of Decision : 18.11.2022

Dayabir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rekhansh Rampal Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the New Pension Scheme of 2008, which has been made applicable upon the petitioner. The further prayer of the petitioner is that the representation dated 30.03.2019 (Annexure P-8) filed by him that he should be treated under the Old Pension Scheme, is still pending consideration with the respondents and the he will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 30.03.2019 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the representation dated 30.03.2019 (Annexure P-8), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 18, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes

Whether reportable? No