

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11289-2002 (O&M)

Date of decision :13.09.2022

Deep Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**Present:** Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 04.04.2001 (Annexure P-11) vide which claim of the petitioner for regularization was rejected.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 27.02.2003.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, after around 21 years, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner. In the premise, no useful purpose would be served to issue fresh notice to the petitioner as the same too would be an exercise in futility.

4. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 20 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

5. Be that as it may, writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

September 13, 2022
Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No