

[138] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.344 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Sukhdeep Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

Major General N.R. Indurkar, SM, Managing
Director, Central Organization ECHS and others.Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gaurav Soni, Advocate for
Mr. Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jhund, Central Government
Counsel for UOI.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 17.12.2021 in CM No.7731-CWP of 2021 in CWP No.8444 of 2019 in case titled as '**Sukhdeep Kaur** versus **Union of India and others.**'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that on 17.12.2021, while disposing of CM No.7731-CWP of 2021 in CWP No.8444 of 2019, it was observed that in case no ESM candidate was available *qua* the post which was earlier being manned by the petitioner on contract basis, then subject to requirement of services of the said post, the petitioner be offered the same unless, of course, regular appointment was to be made and / or ESM Category was de-reserved into the general category and in case ESM candidate was not available despite attempts.

yet pursuant to time taken on 15.03.2022 by learned Central Government Counsel to obtain instructions, learned Central Government Counsel has placed on record copy of agreement arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2, giving her contractual employment for 11 months subject to availability of ex-serviceman / outcome of LPA No.220 of 2022. Agreement executed between the parties is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No